

1 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

3
4
5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)

Case No.: 2:07-cr-00240-GMN-PAL

6 Plaintiff,)

7 vs.)

ORDER DENYING

8 DEMOND HAMMOND, *also known as* Bald)
9 Head,)

10 Defendant.)
11 _____)

12 Before the Court is Defendant Demond Hammond's Motion for Reduction of Sentence
13 Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) filed on October 9, 2012 (ECF No. 80). On April 4, 2013,
14 an Order was entered appointing counsel for the Defendant, and ordering Defendant's counsel
15 to: a) file and serve any Supplement to the request for relief; or b) file a Notice with the Court
16 that the parties have agreed to a resolution, unless for good cause the time is extended (ECF
17 No. 84). On May 29, 2013, Defendant's counsel filed with the Court a Response to this Court's
18 Order entered on April 4, 2013 (ECF No. 84), as well as a Motion to Withdraw as Counsel Due
19 to Defendant's Ineligibility for Relief under 18 U.S.C. § 3282(c). (ECF No. 91).

20 Defendant's counsel having determined that the Office of Probation was correct, as
21 expressed in its May 15, 2013 Supplement, that Defendant did not meet the legal requirements
22 for a sentencing reduction as the law stands today. After review of Defendant's case,
23 Defendant's counsel concluded in her Response (ECF No. 91), that no good faith basis exists
24 for a retroactive application of the Sentencing Guidelines.

25 Accordingly, Defendant Demond Hammond's Motion for Reduction of Sentence

1 Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) filed on October 9, 2012 (ECF No. 80) is hereby **DENIED**.
2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

3 **DATED** this 22nd day of January, 2014.

4 
5 _____
6 Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge
7 United States District Court
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25